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Introduction: Fire Safety Task Force Mandates & Operations

Authority & Purpose:

The Emergency Interagency Fire Safety Task Force (referred to as the Fire Safety Task Force) was established by Ordinance No. 90-15, effective July 17, 2015. This Ordinance authorized the Task Force (comprised of members from the Department of Building Inspection, Fire Department, Public Utilities Commission, Department of Public Health) to review and make recommendations to the Board of Supervisors regarding possible legislation and other solutions that would improve fire safety in multi-residential and multi-use buildings including but not limited to fire prevention measures, post-fire investigation, processing and resolution of fire safety related complaints, interagency coordination, and the advisability of requiring the installation of fire alarms and sprinklers in existing buildings. For the purposes of Task Force efforts existing multi-residential and multi-use buildings are apartment houses containing three (3) or more dwelling units that can contain other uses such as ground floor commercial.

Meetings & Operations:

Pursuant to Ordinance No. 90-15 the Fire Safety Task Force held six (6) public meetings commencing on August 18, 2015 and ending on November 10, 2015. (Please see Exhibit C within the attached Appendix for a summary of the public meeting dates and topics). Over the course of these meetings, representatives from the landlord, tenant, and small business communities were invited to offer their perspectives on matters considered by the Task Force. (Please see Exhibit F within the Appendix for more information).

Findings & Recommendations:

The Fire Safety Task Force voted on Task Force Findings and Recommendations at the last public meeting held on November 10, 2015. The Task Force voted unanimously to approve the Findings and Recommendations delineated within this report (which includes minor corrections read into the record) at the November 10, 2015 meeting. An additional thirty days were given to stakeholders to facilitate any written comments they wished to submit once the Final Findings and Recommendations were placed on the Task Force web page on or about November 23, 2015.
Section I: Immediate Measures

Fire Safety Task Force Findings:

1. The San Francisco Administrative, Fire and Housing Codes do not require building owners of multi-residential (apartment buildings) to post or disclose information regarding the location and maintenance of fire safety devices and practices to building residents, or to conduct annual fire evacuation drills.

2. CCSF currently has no nexus between the issuance of a new business registration with the Tax Collector pursuant to the jurisdiction’s Business & Tax Regulations Code for the rental operation of a multi-family building (over four units) and the providing of an affidavit that fire detection and suppression systems within the building have been serviced and are properly maintained annually.

3. The current Fire Code requires annual Underwriters Laboratories LLC (UL) certification for Fire Alarm Systems in all newly constructed multi-family (apartment buildings), and in existing buildings of sixteen (16) units or more (SFFC 907.9.6 & 4603.6.10).

4. The Fire Code requires the service organization testing and maintaining the fire alarm system to submit an annual report to the Bureau of Fire Prevention based upon the standards delineated within the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Fire Alarm & Signaling Code (2013 edition). There is no current requirement that this information be made transparent to the public or building residents.

5. Fires spread quickly in older multi-unit buildings that typically have open attics with no fire blocks.

Fire Safety Task Force Recommendations:

1. The San Francisco Fire & Housing Codes could be amended to require multi-family building owners post and disclose building specific fire safety information to residents at the commencement of occupancy and when such amendments become effective.

2. Further research should be performed to determine if the Business and Taxation Codes would permit the Business Registration process to be leveraged to ensure residential rental businesses properly maintain fire safety standards by requiring the property owner submit an affidavit that requisite fire safety features are properly maintained as a condition of being issued the business license.
Section I: Immediate Measures (Continued)

Fire Safety Task Force Recommendations:

3. The San Francisco Fire & Housing Codes should be amended to require multi-family building owners of three or more units have their service organization testing and maintaining the fire alarm system submit an annual report to the Bureau of Fire Prevention based upon the standards delineated within the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Fire Alarm & Signaling Code (2013 edition) to be posted on a webpage maintained and supported by CCSF for improved transparency.

4. At the time a business license is issued to the building owner for rental of multi-unit (apartment buildings) the link to the DPW web page should be provided showing the street location of scheduled infrastructure improvements in the public right-of-way. The information should inform a property owner who wishes to voluntarily upgrade their fire sprinkler system of potential cost savings associated with installing these upgrades at the same time of the infrastructure improvements in the public right-of-way.

5. The San Francisco Fire, Housing, or Building Codes should be amended to require multi-unit building owners to install fire blocks, draft stops, or fire saing insulation in open accessible attics of twenty-four (24) inches in height or greater, when performing building alterations through a filed building permit of $20,000.00 or more in estimated job cost.

Section II: Interagency Fire Safety Education & Code Enforcement

Fire Safety Task Force Findings:

1. The San Francisco Fire Department and Department of Building Inspection coordinate closely, on a daily basis, in the areas of permit processing and plan check for new construction.

2. The two departments coordinate on post-fire response and DBI issues Notices of Violation for fire-damaged properties

3. The departments participate in the City Attorney-led Code Enforcement Task Force.
Section II: Interagency Fire Safety Education & Code Enforcement (Continued)

4. The two departments conduct fire safety education to SRO residential hotel residents through the SRO Collaboratives in the Tenderloin, SOMA, Mission and Chinatown areas. DBI funds and coordinates the SRO Collaboratives, and the Fire Dept. helps conduct on-site education workshops for fire safety.

5. DBI has on-line complaint tracking for Housing Code violation cases. SFFD requires appointments to provide case information on properties.

6. DBI HIS issues Notices of Violation for fire safety violation of the Housing Code. SFFD issues Notices of Correction, and Notices of Violation depending on the severity of the violation, i.e., fire alarm, or sprinkler systems out of service and exiting issues.

7. DBI HIS conducts weekly Director’s Hearings for outstanding violations, where liens and penalties are applied for non-compliance.

8. SFFD refers engine company inspection results to the Bureau of Fire Prevention.

9. DBI HIS refers persistently negligent code violators to the City Attorney’s Office for civil penalties.

Tenant Perspectives:

1. Increase transparency for access to SFFD code enforcement actions and documents.

2. Provide an on-line system for tracking SFFD code enforcement actions/ notices of violation, and the ability to determine district Fire Inspectors assigned to geographic areas for inquiry and follow-up.

3. SFFD should implement a transparent administrative hearing process and the imposition of administrative penalties for property owners of multi-unit buildings who fail to comply with fire code requirements.

4. Section 109 of the San Francisco Fire Code should be amended to require that SFFD “shall” rather than “may” prepare notices of violation for fire safety hazards.
Section II: Interagency Fire Safety Education & Code Enforcement (Continued)

5. Expand the educational fire safety workshops traditionally provided to SROs to one and two family dwellings that are being operated similar to an SRO.

Landlord/Business Community Perspectives:

1. Create improved information for property owners of multi-unit buildings to understand which Department (SFFD or DBI) is the lead for specific code enforcement activities.

Section III: Post Fire Investigation & Interagency Information Sharing

Fire Safety Task Force Findings:

1. The San Francisco Fire Department conducts post fire investigations of multi-unit (apartment buildings) and determines a probable cause of a fire if requisite evidence is available. This information is transmitted to DBI on a monthly basis.

2. SFFD and DBI frequently collaborate on fire safety issues but do not currently have a formal referral system for code violation issues.

3. Multi-unit buildings with fires that cause $20,000.00 or more in damage typically sustain structural damage.

4. CCSF currently does not have a formal process to document how required fire safety in multi-unit buildings performed in the event of a fire (if the evidence has not destroyed) and link that data with building features such as number of units, floors of occupancy, or construction type.

Tenant Perspectives:

1. SFFD post fire reporting should provide transparency and timely notification to tenants regarding the condition of their unit in a fire damaged building regarding habitability and access to possessions.
Section III: Post Fire Investigation & Interagency Information Sharing (Continued)

Fire Safety Task Force Recommendations:

1. The San Francisco Fire Department and Department of Building Inspection should collaborate on the development of a data base accessible to the public that will document how fire safety systems performed in multi-unit buildings with fires having $20,000.00 damage or greater when the evidence is available.

2. The San Francisco Fire Department and Department of Building Inspection should develop a referral form to be utilized when transmitting interdepartmental information related to fire safety code enforcement activities from one department to the other.

Section IV: Fire Alarm Systems in Existing Multi-Residential Buildings

Fire Safety Findings:

1. Older multi-unit buildings which comprise the majority of the apartment building inventory, have original alarm systems installed in the 1970's and 1980's. These have been permitted to have replacement in kind repairs with no upgrades. Such systems typically lack adequate audible notification, and would fail the 75 dB "pillow test" NFPA standard for new installations.

2. Upgrades to the older fire alarm systems where compatible, would require requisite electrical and building permits as well as booster panels to supply the additional electrical power necessary to support additional appliances i.e. louder horns and strobes (replacing the bell alarms). If the additional horns trigger the need for a power booster, the cost will increase by approximately $2000 for the installation.

3. Such upgrades could require expanding the notification appliance circuit of the system and installation of additional horns into the individual dwelling units so that building residents can more effectively hear the audible early detection (fire alarm) systems. The cost of installing additional horns is approximately $500 per horn including conduit and wiring. This cost increases if the conduit is run inside the wall.

4. Replacing the audio alarms may require installation of a new fire alarm control panel to achieve compatibility between devices. Where feasible existing wiring may be used to appliances being replaced but additional horns, etc. will require additional wiring and appropriate electrical service.
Section IV: Fire Alarm Systems in Existing Multi-Residential Buildings (Continued)

The cost of installing and programming a new fire alarm control panel varies from $5000 (for a conventional panel) to $15,000 for an addressable panel with 512 points.

5. Landlords and property managers are often confused about the time frames for the testing of life safety equipment (fire alarm system, emergency lighting and exit signs, fire sprinklers, fire escapes, fire extinguishers)

6. A fire alarm system typically requires only one 120 VAC dedicated circuit for the types of buildings under consideration (which the existing systems should already have).

7. The majority of fire alarms systems are not monitored by a third party, and would necessitate fire alarm control panel upgrade/replacement. Off-site UL approved monitoring of fire alarm systems in residential buildings is only required when there are 20 or more fire sprinkler heads present. Some systems could be upgraded by adding a dialer. A dialer could be installed for approximately $800 and the monthly cost of monitoring is about $90.

8. The cost of installing a fire alarm system for new construction is estimated at $5 per sq. ft. for a conventional system and $8 per sq. ft. for an addressable system. While upgrading a system in older buildings presents unique challenges there can be cost savings by using existing wiring (existing wire mold may be used). A “like for like” replacement of a fire alarm system in typical three or four story apartment buildings can cost approximately $8,000 to $20,000.

Tenant Perspectives:

1. Fire alarm upgrades should take into consideration residents with disabilities who may not be able to hear audible alarms. Alarm system Improvements should include strobe devices.

2. Tenants should be informed annually by property owners regarding the condition and workability of the existing fire alarm system, and current evacuation plan.
Section IV: Fire Alarm Systems in Existing Multi-Residential Buildings (Continued)

Landlord/Business Community Perspectives:

1. Some battery smoke detectors are more reliable than others. Pursuant to some property owner testimony the ionization sensor types are prone to more false alarms.

2. Landlords and property managers are often confused about the time frames for the testing of life safety equipment (fire alarm system, emergency lighting and exit signs, fire sprinklers, fire escapes, fire extinguishers).

3. Further research should be performed to identify and determine the feasibility of employing the latest technology, such as wireless systems currently utilized in other building types.

Fire Safety Task Force Recommendations:

1. The San Francisco Fire & Housing Codes should be amended to require upgrades to the current fire alarm systems within two years to adhere to the 75 dB “pillow test” NFPA standard.

2. The San Francisco Fire & Housing Codes should be amended to include a chart which clearly delineates testing/inspection timeframes for all pertinent fires safety equipment in multi-unit buildings. Both departments would include this information in their fire safety educational and outreach efforts.

3. CCSF Departments should reach out to fire alarm system manufacturers to encourage availability of replacement equipment for fire alarm systems within reasonable time frames.

Section V: Fire Sprinkler/Suppression Systems in Existing Multi-Residential Buildings

Fire Safety Task Force Findings:

1. Older multi-unit buildings which comprise the majority of the apartment building inventory are only partially sprinklered in the garbage rooms and trash chutes. These systems are
Section V: Fire Sprinkler/Suppression Systems in Existing Multi-Residential Buildings (Continued)

effective in situations where there is a fire in these areas only. These older systems have no backflow prevention to protect the potable water.

2. Adding sprinkler heads to an existing system could require an upgrade of the fire alarm system that is tied into it as any fire sprinkler system which has more than 20 sprinkler heads. Such systems must be remotely monitored by a third party.

3. The more sprinkler heads added to the system the greater the likelihood that additional water service will be required, or that a larger pipe will be required in the event of such need. The “flat rate” cost of bringing the additional service with no complications would be approximately $28,000. This cost could increase substantially depending on the complexity of connecting to the main in the street. These facts include the distance to the main water supply line of sufficient size, and how busy the street is regarding the connection installation. A small percentage of multi-unit building will have to install a pump to ensure proper water pressure for the fire sprinkler heads at upper floors. In such cases a room would be needed to house the pump.

4. Work of the scope required to add sprinkler heads in older occupied buildings would raise impacts associated with dust, asbestos, lead, noise, and egress obstructions due to equipment and staging area needs. Some tenants might require relocation during such work. Also, retroactive installations of fire sprinkler supply lines could cause headroom requirements to become an issue.

5. Additional SFFD and DBI staffing would be required to perform plan checking, and site inspections for retroactive sprinkler installations in multi-unit buildings.

6. If new fire sprinkler systems are installed or existing systems are expanded, backflow prevention would need to be installed. In such cases issues of cost and finding space for the devices would arise. Backflow systems are required by DPH to be tested on an annual basis at the building owner’s expense. The water that flows into fire sprinkler systems is from the public drinking water supply. Backflow prevention is necessary to keep the water that flows into a fire sprinkler system from flowing back into the drinking supply after potentially sitting stagnant in the system a long time and then contaminating the drinking supply.

7. If a new fire sprinkler system is installed (or substantially upgraded from the minimal systems that currently exist) the cost can vary substantially as there is no “one size fits all” approach to installing such systems in older multi-unit buildings. The cost of installing a fire sprinkler system is best approximated by cost per sprinkler head. The projected cost would be $500.00 to $600.00 per sprinkler head for the typical three or four floor
Section V: Fire Sprinkler/Suppression Systems in Existing Multi-Residential Buildings (Continued)

apartment building with exposed plumbing. This would include PUC hook up, backflow prevention and other considerations.

8. The factors which would most affect the cost of a fire sprinkler installation include:

A. The PUC fire service connection has a "flat rate" for a straight-forward connection of $28,000 which can increase to $150,000 in extreme cases where the water service needed is (1) located far away, (2) the street in question is busy, (3) an extensive street restoration is required, (4) the water main in question is not of sufficient size, or (5) other complicating factors.

B. In some cases finding space for the backflow prevention device (approximately the size of a large desk) may increase cost for interior modifications in order to ensure the distance does not exceed 25 feet from the water main. The cost of the device itself is approximately $1500.

C. If the new pipe is run inside the walls and ceilings the cost will be at least double the estimated cost per sprinkler head.

D. In rare cases (about 1% of installations) a fire pump would be needed to provide the correct water pressure in the system. A 500 gallon per minute pump would cost approximately $75,000 to install. Pumps would typically be required in taller buildings that are located at higher elevations.

Tenant Perspectives:

1. Tenants are concerned with potential Rent Ordinance pass-throughs (similar to those for capital improvements) related to cost calculations, and relocation compensation.

Landlord/Business Community Perspectives:

1. Property owners are concerned with the expense of retroactively installing fire sprinkler systems to existing buildings and the cost to relocate tenants during such installation.
Section V: Fire Sprinkler/Suppression Systems in Existing Multi-Residential Buildings (Continued)

Fire Safety Task Force Recommendations:

1. The advisability of requiring the installation of a retroactive sprinkler system to existing multi-unit buildings should be predicated upon the factors delineated above. Upgrading fire alarm systems should be considered a consensus approach while post fire investigative reporting is reformatted to provide more specific information regarding the causes of fires and how fire safety systems and construction types perform when such evidence is available.

Section VI: Stakeholder Written Comments

See Appendix, Exhibit G.
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## Appendix

### Exhibit A: Glossary of Terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire Blocking or Draftstop</td>
<td>Approved materials, device or construction installed within concealed spaces (such as a ceiling cavity) to resist or block the migration of fire/hot gases or air.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Safing Insulation</td>
<td>Approved noncombustible material used as a fire barrier in concealed spaces such as a ceiling cavity, wall, or soffit, or around protrusions or penetrations to resist or block the migration of fire and hot gases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialer</td>
<td>An automatic telephone dialing device that enables the fire alarm system to send a message over the phone lines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionization Sensor</td>
<td>A smoke alarm sensor that contains material within a chamber that will generate an electric current between two metal plates, and sound an alarm when disrupted by smoke entering the chamber.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backflow Prevention</td>
<td>A device that is used to protect potable water by preventing contaminated or polluted water to flow back into the originating water source.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Exhibit B:

Summary of Building and Housing Code
Fire Safety Requirements
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIRE ALARM SYSTEM TOPIC</th>
<th>SF HOUSING CODE CHAPTER 9 REQUIREMENTS</th>
<th>REQUIREMENTS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
<th>POSSIBLE RECOMMENDATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire alarm system required where?</td>
<td>General Fire Alarm System Requirements: All occupancies which are three or more stories in height or in buildings having five or more dwelling units and guest rooms six or more in number. <strong>Exceptions</strong> - 1. Buildings of Type I (I-A) or Type II (I-B, II-A, II-B) construction. 2. Buildings having a sprinkler system conforming with the requirements of Section 807 of the SFHC. 3. Existing buildings having smoke detectors in all individual dwelling units which are installed prior to March 1, 1977. 4. Buildings in which all individual dwelling units and guest rooms have access to the exterior at ground level without use of any interior public hallway or any interior public stairway.</td>
<td>SFBC 907.2.9.1 (R-2 occupancy) - A manual fire alarm system that activates the occupant notification system shall be installed where - 1. Any dwelling unit 3 or more stories above lowest level of exit. 2. Any dwelling unit is located more than one story below the highest level of exit. 3. The building contains more than 6 dwelling units. 4. Congregate living facilities or residences three or more stories in height or having 11 or more occupants. <strong>Exceptions</strong> - 1. Buildings not more than 2 stories in height, with 1 hour rated fire partitions and each unit has an exit directly to public way, egress court or yard. 2. Buildings w/o interior corridors serving dwelling units AND are protected by an automatic sprinkler system PROVIDED that dwelling units either have a means of egress door opening directly to the exits or are served by open-ended corridors.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Smoke Detector Spacing
- Not more than 30 feet apart and within 15 feet of a corridor wall or the end of a corridor.
- NFPA 72 2013 edition – 17.7.3.2.3.1
  1. The distance between smoke detectors shall not exceed a nominal spacing of 30 feet and must be within 15 feet of all walls. 2. All points on the ceiling shall have a detector within a distance equal to or less than 0.7 times the nominal 30 foot spacing.
- NFPA 72 outlines different criteria for smoke detector spacing depending on several factors including if the ceiling is sloped or if there are joists etc.

### Location of Audible Devices
- All audible devices shall be installed in an approved location and shall emit a loud continuous alarm clearly audible in all occupied areas of the building.
- Alarm shall provide min 60dB and:
  - 15 dB above ambient sound
  - 75 dB for R occupancies
  - Max sound level shall be 110 dB
- dB requirements per SFFD stamp.

### NFPA Standard
- Smoke alarm systems installed prior to January 1, 1989, shall conform to the National Fire Protection Association Standards 72-A, 1981 Edition and 72-E, 1981 Edition, except that where there is a conflict between the provisions and this Section, the provisions of this Section shall prevail. As an alternate, smoke detector and alarm systems may comply with the 1988 San Francisco
- NFPA 72 2013 Edition
- Since 1989 the most current adopted version of NFPA 72 is the standard. The original standard when systems were first required was NFPA 72A 1975 edition.
| Supervision | Systems shall be electrically supervised locally for system malfunction and power supply interruption. "Supervision" shall consist of the following elements, or those "supervision" provisions provided by standard industry supervision panel boxes as approved by the State Fire Marshal:  
Green light = AC power is on  
Blinking red light and audible device = trouble condition (a silencing switch shall be provided) | NFPA 72 2013 Edition – Sec. 10.15  
Trouble signal must be indicated in 200 seconds  
Trouble sound may be intermittent  
Trouble light and sound must be at FACP and as needed to notify occupants (remote annunciator)  
Means of silencing trouble requires a key either at the annunciator or a key is needed to open the FACP. | |
<p>| Maintenance | All required smoke detection and alarm systems shall be maintained as required by the Fire Code. | The fire code and NFPA 72 2013 Edition | |
| When a sprinkler system is installed. | Where there is no fire alarm system as required under Section 907 of the San Francisco Building Code, a manual fire alarm pull box capable of activating audible devices | SFBC 907.2.9.1 Manual fire alarm boxes are not required where the building is equipped with an automatic sprinkler system in accordance with Sec. 903.3.1.1 or 903.3.1.2 and sprinkler water flow | Change is due to the desire to cut back on false alarms. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>FIRE SAFETY TASK FORCE</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FIRE ALARM SYSTEM MATRIX FOR R-2 OCCUPANCIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(Initial Analysis) (Subject to change without notice)</em> September 1, 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Power Supply</th>
<th>All required smoke detectors and alarm systems shall receive their power supply from the building electrical system.</th>
<th>Fire alarm systems must have a dedicated circuit and a breaker switch that is in a box that is red. FACP must supervise 120 VAC circuit.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notification of completion of installation.</td>
<td>Upon completion of the installation of any system required under this Section, the installer of such system shall provide to the Director, in a form acceptable, a certification that the system is operational and functioning.</td>
<td>Notify the SF Bureau of Fire Prevention.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Report on Fire Sprinkler Requirements in Existing Residential Buildings

PRESENTED BY
Tom Hui, S.E., C.B.O., Director
Daniel Lowrey, Deputy Director
Rosemary Bosque, Chief Housing Inspector
Approximately 20,000 apartment buildings
Majority are older buildings, over 50 years old

SYSTEMS IN PLACE
Central and supervisory alarm systems
Sprinklers in common areas only
Fully sprinklered buildings
TABLES ON SAN FRANCISCO SPRINKLERS REQUIREMENTS IN RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS
Table 1: R1 and R2 Occupancy (New Buildings)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPES</th>
<th>SPRINKLERS REQUIREMENTS</th>
<th>CODE SECTION</th>
<th>EFFECTIVE DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New buildings with permits filed prior to 1/1/2008</td>
<td>Required for apartment houses, three or more stories</td>
<td>1990 SFBC Section 3802 (h)</td>
<td>1/1/1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New buildings with permits filed on or after 1/1/2008</td>
<td>Required for all areas, including units</td>
<td>2007 SFBC Section 903.2.7</td>
<td>1/1/2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## TABLES ON SAN FRANCISCO SPRINKLERS REQUIREMENTS IN RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS
### Table 2: R1 and R2 Occupancy (Existing Buildings)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPES</th>
<th>SPRINKLER REQUIREMENTS</th>
<th>CODE SECTION OR ORDINANCE</th>
<th>EFFECTIVE DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addition to existing buildings</td>
<td>See Information Sheet FS-05: Sprinkler Systems Requirements for Addition or Legalization of Dwelling Unit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing SRO hotel buildings</td>
<td>Required to be provided and maintained for 20 or more guest rooms</td>
<td>SF Fire Code Section 1103.5.1 and Ordinance 170-02</td>
<td>9/2/2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing high-rise buildings</td>
<td>Required throughout building</td>
<td>SF Fire Code Section 1103.5.2 and Ordinance 377-93</td>
<td>1/2/1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing hotels</td>
<td>Required throughout all common areas of the hotel</td>
<td>SF Fire Code Section 1103.5.3 and Ordinance 319-86</td>
<td>9/6/1986</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SPRINKLER PLUMBING CONSIDERATIONS

- Water service from meter to building
- Depending on pressure, booster pump might be required
- Main supply riser location
- Coverage of sprinkler system (i.e. common area, units)
- Abatement if needed for lead/asbestos
- Concealed piping if desired
- Construction impacts on tenants
AREAS WHERE THE SF HOUSING CODE ADDRESSES
FIRE SAFETY HAZARDS

- Fire escapes
- Fire extinguishers
- Fire alarm systems
- Required egress
- Fire sprinkler systems within specified areas, i.e. garbage chutes & storage rooms
- Residential Hotel Sprinkler Ordinance
- Smoke detectors/ carbon monoxide alarms
- Combustible storage
- Self closing smoke enclosure Doors
- Manual releases for exit doors
- Exit signage & lighting
### Table 3: San Francisco Housing Code (SFHC) Fire Sprinkler Requirements for Apartment Buildings (Applied Retroactively to Buildings of three (3) or more dwellings)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Type</th>
<th>Fire Sprinkler Requirement</th>
<th>SFHC Section</th>
<th>Year Instituted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Apartment Buildings Five (5) Units or More</td>
<td>Storage Areas: Fire sprinklers required.</td>
<td>904(a)</td>
<td>Pre 1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. All Apartment Buildings with Garbage Chutes</td>
<td>Garbage Chutes: Buildings four (4) or less stories: fire sprinklers are required at the highest hopper inlet and at the discharge end, not to exceed thirty-five (35) feet. Buildings of five (5) or more stories: fire sprinklers are required as described above, and at every hopper inlet on each floor.</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>Pre 1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. All Apartment Buildings with Garbage Rooms/Areas</td>
<td>Garbage Rooms/Areas: Fire sprinklers are required.</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>Pre 1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. All Apartment Buildings With Laundry Chutes/Linen Rooms</td>
<td>Laundry Chutes/Linen Rooms: Fire sprinklers are required.</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>Pre 1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. All Apartment Buildings With Specific Dead-End Corridors</td>
<td>Exit Doorways on specific Dead-End Corridors: Fire Sprinklers are required if a three-quarter hour opening is not provided at an exit door on dead-end corridors more than twenty (20) in length (where one exit is passed to get to the other).</td>
<td>801(a)(1)</td>
<td>Pre 1970</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONTACT US!

- Tom Hui, Director – tom.hui@sfgov.org
- Daniel Lowrey, Deputy Director Inspection Services
daniel.lowrey@sfgov.org
- Rosemary Bosque, Chief Housing Inspector
rosemary.bosque@sfgov.org

Visit: 1660 Mission Street
Call: (415) 558 – 6088
www.sfdbi.org
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Meeting and Topic Schedule
MEMORANDUM

DATE: September 3, 2015

TO: Dr. Johnson Ojo, DPH
     Dan de Cossio, Assistant Deputy Chief/Fire Marshal, SFFD
     Lieutenant Rich Brown, SFFD
     David Briggs, SFPUC

FROM: Rosemary Bosque, Chief Housing Inspector DBI

THROUGH: Dan Lowrey, Deputy Director Inspection Services, DBI

SUBJECT: Emergency Interagency Fire Safety Task Force (Ordinance 90-15)
         For Multi-Unit/Use Residential Buildings
         Meeting and General Topic Schedule
         (Agendas will be sent out prior to each meeting)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>GENERAL TOPICS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 August 18, 2015 Tuesday | 6:00pm – 8:00pm | 1650 Mission Street, 5th Floor Golden Gate Conference Rm | At-Risk Buildings
               |                |                                       | Intradepartmental Procedures                        |
               |                |                                       | Possible code amendments                             |
| 2 September 8, 2015 Tuesday  | 10:00am – 12:00pm | 1650 Mission Street, 5th Floor Golden Gate Conference Rm | Discussion of possible fire alarm provisions         |
| 3 September 22, 2015 Tuesday | 5:30pm – 7:30pm | 1660 Mission Street, 2nd Floor Conference Rm 2001 | Post fire investigations Interagency coordination regarding complaints/code enforcement |
| 4 October 6, 2015 Tuesday   | 10:00am – 12:00pm | 1650 Mission Street, 5th Floor Golden Gate Conference Rm | Discussion of possible fire sprinkler provisions    |
| 5 October 27, 2015 Tuesday  | 10:00am – 12:00pm | 1650 Mission Street, 5th Floor Golden Gate Conference Rm | Assessment based on city agency, stake holder & community input |
| 6 November 10, 2015 Tuesday | 10:00am – 12:00pm | 1650 Mission Street, 5th Floor Golden Gate Conference Rm | Preliminary Recommendations                          |
|               |                |                                       | Final Recommendations                                 |
Exhibit D:

Ordinance No. 90-15
[Administrative Code - Establishing Emergency Interagency Fire Safety Task Force]

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to establish an Emergency Interagency Fire Safety Task Force to review and make recommendations to the Board of Supervisors on proposed legislation and suggest other solutions to improve fire safety in multi-unit residential and multi-use buildings.

NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font. Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font. Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font. Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font. Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font. Asterisks (* * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Article XXII, consisting of Sections 5.22-1 through 5.22-5, to read as follows:

**ARTICLE XXII: EMERGENCY INTERAGENCY FIRE SAFETY TASK FORCE.**

**SEC. 5.22-1. CREATION OF TASK FORCE.**

The Board of Supervisors hereby establishes an Emergency Interagency Fire Safety Task Force ("Task Force") for the City and County of San Francisco ("City").

**SEC. 5.22.2. PURPOSE.**

Because San Francisco has recently had several fires in multi-unit residential and multi-use buildings that resulted in death and displacement of tenants, and multiple City agencies have enforcement authority over the habitability of multi-unit residential and multi-use buildings and the health and safety of the occupants of these buildings, immediate measures must be taken to improve the fire safety of these buildings.

The Task Force shall specifically:
(a) review and make recommendations to the Board of Supervisors on legislation proposed by
the Board to improve fire safety in multi-unit residential and multi-use buildings;
(b) suggest to the Board of Supervisors amendments to the Municipal Code or other solutions
that would improve fire safety in multi-residential and multi-use buildings, including but not limited to
fire prevention measures, post-fire investigation, processing and resolution of complaints about Code
violations, and interagency coordination and information sharing; and
(c) make recommendations to the Board of Supervisors on the advisability of requiring the
installation of fire alarms and sprinklers in existing buildings.

SEC. 5.22-3. MEMBERSHIP.
The Task Force shall consist of the following seven members:

(a) two representatives from the Department of Building Inspection, one of whom shall be from
the Housing Division;

(b) two representatives from the Fire Department, one of whom shall be the Fire Marshal or
Fire Marshal’s designee;

(c) two representatives from the Department of Public Health; and

(d) one representative from the Public Utilities Commission.

The City Attorney shall assign a representative to attend the meetings and advise the Task Force.

SEC. 5.22-4. MEETINGS AND OPERATIONS OF TASK FORCE; REPORT TO THE BOARD
OF SUPERVISORS.

(a) Members shall be appointed to the Task Force by their respective departments no later than
the effective date of this Article XXII and the Task Force shall begin meeting as soon as possible after
its formation. The Task Force members shall meet at least once every two weeks for three months. Four
members shall constitute a quorum for purposes of meeting. An official action may be taken by the vote
of a majority of the members at a meeting.
(b) Over the course of its meetings, the Task Force shall invite representatives of the landlord, tenant, and small business communities to offer their perspectives on matters considered by the Task Force.

c) The Department of Building Inspection shall provide clerical and administrative support and staffing to the Task Force. All City officials, departments, boards, commissions, and other bodies shall cooperate with the Task Force in conducting its business.

d) Three months after the effective date of this Article XXII, the Task Force shall submit a report to the Board of Supervisors on all of the matters within its purview.

SEC. 5.22-5. SUNSET DATE.

Unless the Board of Supervisors by ordinance extends the term of the Task Force, this Article XXII shall expire by operation of law, and the Task Force shall terminate, six months after the effective date of this Article. After that date, the City Attorney shall cause this Article to be removed from the Administrative Code.

Section 2. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor’s veto of the ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By: JUDITH A. BOYAJIAN
   Deputy City Attorney

n/Veganass20151150058201011496.doc
Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to establish an Emergency Interagency Fire Safety Task Force to review and make recommendations to the Board of Supervisors on proposed legislation and suggest other solutions to improve fire safety in multi-unit residential and multi-use buildings.

May 21, 2015 Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee - RECOMMENDED

June 02 2015 Board of Supervisors - PASSED, ON FIRST READING

Ayes: 11 - Avalos, Breed, Campos, Christensen, Cohen, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Tang, Wiener and Yee

June 09 2015 Board of Supervisors - FINALLY PASSED

Ayes: 11 - Avalos, Breed, Campos, Christensen, Cohen, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Tang, Wiener and Yee

File No. 150431

I hereby certify that the foregoing Ordinance was FINALLY PASSED on 6/9/2015 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

Date Approved

6/16/2015
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Overview of Code Enforcement Process
San Francisco
Fire Safety Task Force
Agenda Item #4

September 22, 2015
1660 Mission, Room 2001
San Francisco Fire Safety Task Force
Agenda Item # 4
Fire Housing, and Building Code Enforcement

DBI FIRE INSPECTION SAFETY PROTOCOLS
HIS INSPECTION TYPES THAT INVOLVE FIRE SAFETY

- Complaint Inspections from the public, building occupant or inter agency referrals
- City Attorney Task Force Inspections
- Room-to-room inspections of residential hotels
- Initial inspections of HSA master lease residential hotels
- Systematic code enforcement of apartment buildings & hotels (routine inspections)
- Focused Code Enforcement
- Fast tracking fire safety - life hazard cases to the City Attorney
San Francisco Fire Safety Task Force
Agenda Item # 4
Fire Housing, and Building Code Enforcement

AREAS WHERE THE SF HOUSING & BUILDING CODES ADDRESS FIRE SAFETY HAZARDS

- Fire escapes
- Fire extinguishers
- Fire alarm systems
- Required egress
- Fire sprinkler systems within specified areas, i.e. garbage chutes & storage rooms
- Residential Hotel Sprinkler Ordinance
- Smoke detectors/ carbon monoxide alarms
- Combustible storage
- Self closing smoke enclosure Doors
- Manual releases for exit doors
- Exit signage & lighting
San Francisco Fire Safety Task Force
Agenda Item # 4
Fire Housing, and Building Code Enforcement

CODE ENFORCEMENT OUTREACH PROGRAM

- CEOP AGENCIES
  - HOUSING RIGHTS COMMITTEE
  - CAUSA JUSTA/ JUST CAUSE
  - SAN FRANCISCO APARTMENT ASSOCIATION
  - CHINATOWN COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CENTER
  - TENDERLOIN HOUSING CLINIC
  - MISSION SRO COLLABORATIVE
  - CENTRAL CITY SRO COLLABORATIVE
  - CHINATOWN SRO COLLABORATIVE
  - SRO FAMILIES UNITED COLLABORATIVE

- CEOP FIRE SAFETY OUTREACH ACTIVITIES:
  - EXPANDED FIRE SAFETY WORKSHOPS WITH SFFD
  - CODE ENFORCEMENT OUTREACH
  - ENPOWERING COMMUNITY REGARDING HEALTH & SAFETY AND CITY SERVICES
SAN FRANCISCO HOUSING CODE
ENFORCEMENT OVERVIEW
(NECESSARY TO ENSURE SAFE, FUNCTIONAL & SANITARY HOUSING)

COMPLAINT
* Initiated by public or referral

SYSTEMATIC ENFORCEMENT
* Initiated by code

INSPECTION

CODE ENFORCEMENT OUTREACH

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

OBTAIN PERMIT(S) IF REQUIRED

EMERGENCY ORDER

REINSPCTION

ABATED?

DIRECTOR'S HEARING

ORDER ISSUED

ASSESSMENT OF COST

LITIGATION COMMITTEE

Francise Tax Board

CITY ATTORNEY

DISTRICT ATTORNEY

ABATEMENT

CITATIONS

Note: The San Francisco Housing & Building Codes provide variation of the sequence indicated above on a case by case basis.
San Francisco Fire Safety Task Force
Agenda Item # 4
Fire Housing, and Building Code Enforcement

SAMPLE DBI CODE ENFORCEMENT FOCUS AREA (CEFA)
(Mission Street Corridor CEFA from 3rd Street to Sickles Avenue)
San Francisco Fire Safety Task Force
Agenda Item # 4
Fire Housing, and Building Code Enforcement

Fire Extinguishers:
- Proper Type
- Annual Certification/Tag

Sprinkler Systems:
- Sprinkler maintenance
- Proper sprinkler head ceiling clearance
- Sprinklers required in:
  - garbage rooms/chutes & storage rooms/areas
  - Some dead-end corridors over twenty feet
  - Laundry chutes and linen rooms
San Francisco Fire Safety Task Force
Agenda Item # 4
Fire Housing, and Building Code Enforcement
San Francisco Fire Safety Task Force
Agenda Item # 4
Fire Housing, and Building Code Enforcement

Keep second means of egress clear for escape

- Doors and windows to fire escapes are operable
- No storage permitted in hallways
- Fire Escapes unencumbered, regularly serviced, and fully operational
- No double cylinder locks on exit doors
- No security bars on exit doors without a manual release
- Maintain proper exit signage
San Francisco Fire Safety Task Force
Agenda Item #4
Fire Housing, and Building Code Enforcement

PADLOCKED FIRE DOORS
San Francisco Fire Safety Task Force
Agenda Item # 4
Fire Housing, and Building Code Enforcement
San Francisco Fire Safety Task Force
Agenda Item #4
Fire Housing, and Building Code Enforcement
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Member & Guest List
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Stakeholder Name</th>
<th>CCSF Department, Agency, or Community Group</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Bosque</td>
<td>Rosemary Department of Building Inspection</td>
<td>Chief Housing Inspector</td>
<td>558-6202 558-6220</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rosemary.bosque@sfgov.org">rosemary.bosque@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>1660 Mission Street, 6th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Briggs</td>
<td>David SFPUC</td>
<td>Local &amp; Regional Water System Manager</td>
<td>554-0754</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dbriggs@sfwater.org">dbriggs@sfwater.org</a></td>
<td>525 Golden Gate Av, 13th Fl San Francisco CA 94102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>Rich SFFD</td>
<td>Lieutenant</td>
<td>558-3300 558-3363</td>
<td><a href="mailto:richard.brown@sfgov.org">richard.brown@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>Bureau of Fire Prevention 698 Second St. San Francisco CA 94107-2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member</td>
<td>de Cossio</td>
<td>Dan SFFD</td>
<td>Assistant Deputy Chief Fire Marshal</td>
<td>650-821-7869</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dan.decossio@flysfo.com">dan.decossio@flysfo.com</a></td>
<td>SFFD HQ 698 Second Street, Room 109 San Francisco CA 94107-2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Lowrey</td>
<td>Dan Department of Building Inspection</td>
<td>Deputy Director</td>
<td>558-6127</td>
<td><a href="mailto:daniel.lowrey@sfgov.org">daniel.lowrey@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>1660 Mission Street, 6th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Ojo</td>
<td>Johnson DPH – BEHS</td>
<td>Principal Inspector</td>
<td>252-3858</td>
<td><a href="mailto:johnson.ojo@sfdph.org">johnson.ojo@sfdph.org</a></td>
<td>1390 Market Street, 6th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest</td>
<td>Allen</td>
<td>Ron Department of Building Inspection</td>
<td>Chief Electrical Inspector</td>
<td>558-6028</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ron.allen@sfgov.org">ron.allen@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>1660 Mission Street, 3rd Floor San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest</td>
<td>Amyes</td>
<td>Benjamin San Francisco Human Services Agency</td>
<td>Disaster Response Manager Emergency Response Unit</td>
<td>557-5370</td>
<td><a href="mailto:benjamin.amyes@sfgov.org">benjamin.amyes@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>PO Box 7988 San Francisco, CA 94120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest</td>
<td>Bailey</td>
<td>Christina Department of Building Inspection</td>
<td>Building Inspection</td>
<td>558-6135</td>
<td><a href="mailto:christina.bailey@sfgov.org">christina.bailey@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>1660 Mission Street, 1st Floor San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest</td>
<td>Bhakta</td>
<td>Chirag Mission SRO Collaborative</td>
<td>Organizer</td>
<td>282-6209</td>
<td><a href="mailto:chirag@dscs.org">chirag@dscs.org</a></td>
<td>938 Valencia Street San Francisco, CA 94110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest</td>
<td>Boyajian</td>
<td>Judy City Attorney’s Office</td>
<td>Deputy City Attorney</td>
<td>554-4636</td>
<td><a href="mailto:judy.boyajian@sfgov.org">judy.boyajian@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place Room 234 San Francisco, CA 94102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest</td>
<td>Bozeman</td>
<td>John Building Owners and Managers Association of San Francisco</td>
<td>Director, Government and Industry Affairs</td>
<td>362-2662 x116</td>
<td><a href="mailto:johnb@boma.com">johnb@boma.com</a></td>
<td>233 Sansome Street, 8th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest</td>
<td>Buckle</td>
<td>Jeff</td>
<td>Mayor's Office</td>
<td>Senior Housing Advisor</td>
<td>554-7925</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jeff.buckley@sfgov.org">jeff.buckley@sfgov.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest</td>
<td>Buscov</td>
<td>Pat</td>
<td>Patrick Buscovitch &amp; Associates Structural Engineers</td>
<td>Structural Engineer</td>
<td><a href="mailto:patrick@buscovitch.com">patrick@buscovitch.com</a></td>
<td>235 Montgomery St., Suite 823 San Francisco CA 94104-3105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest</td>
<td>Chu</td>
<td>Angela</td>
<td>Chinatown Community SRO Collaborative</td>
<td>Community Organizer Manager</td>
<td>984-1468</td>
<td><a href="mailto:achu@ChinatownCDC.org">achu@ChinatownCDC.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest</td>
<td>Cleavel</td>
<td>Ken</td>
<td>Building Owners and Managers Association of San Francisco</td>
<td>Vice President, Public Policy</td>
<td>362-2682 x111</td>
<td><a href="mailto:keno@boma.com">keno@boma.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest</td>
<td>Cunningham</td>
<td>Jerry</td>
<td>Cunningham Engineering</td>
<td>Engineer</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jerry@cefire.com">jerry@cefire.com</a></td>
<td>334 10th Avenue San Francisco, CA 94118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest</td>
<td>Diep</td>
<td>Jerri</td>
<td>Chinatown Community SRO Collaborative</td>
<td>Community Organizer</td>
<td>346-3740</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jdiep@chinateam.org">jdiep@chinateam.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest</td>
<td>Fernández-Berrioza</td>
<td>Bal</td>
<td>SRO Families United Collaborative</td>
<td>Senior Coordinator</td>
<td>346-3740 x316</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rfernandez@chinateam.org">rfernandez@chinateam.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest</td>
<td>Fieber</td>
<td>Jennifer</td>
<td>San Francisco Tenants Union</td>
<td>Development &amp; Policy Coordinator</td>
<td>282-6622</td>
<td>info.sftu.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest</td>
<td>Goossen</td>
<td>Carolyn</td>
<td>City &amp; County of San Francisco Board of Supervisors</td>
<td>Legislative Aide to Supervisor David Campos</td>
<td>554-5144</td>
<td><a href="mailto:carolyn.goossen@sfgov.org">carolyn.goossen@sfgov.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest</td>
<td>Goss</td>
<td>Charlie</td>
<td>San Francisco Apartment Association</td>
<td>Government and Community Affairs Manager</td>
<td>255-1112</td>
<td><a href="mailto:charley@sfaa.org">charley@sfaa.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest</td>
<td>Harris</td>
<td>Sonya</td>
<td>Department of Building Inspection</td>
<td>Commission Secretary</td>
<td>558-6250</td>
<td><a href="mailto:sonya.harris@sfgov.org">sonya.harris@sfgov.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest</td>
<td>Hui</td>
<td>Tom</td>
<td>Department of Building Inspection</td>
<td>S.E., C.B.O., Director</td>
<td>558-6250</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tom.hui@sfgov.org">tom.hui@sfgov.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest</td>
<td>Jayin</td>
<td>Carolyn</td>
<td>Department of Building Inspection</td>
<td>Secretary to the Director</td>
<td>558-6250</td>
<td><a href="mailto:carolyn.jayin@sfgov.org">carolyn.jayin@sfgov.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Micki</td>
<td>SFFD</td>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>575-6828</td>
<td><a href="mailto:micki.jones@sfgov.org">micki.jones@sfgov.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Steve</td>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>Retired Fire Fighter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder Name</td>
<td>CCSR Department, Agency, or Community Group</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Karnilowicz Henry</td>
<td>Occidental Express</td>
<td>DBI Code Advisory Committee member</td>
<td>554-3953</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tom.lakritz@sfgov.org">tom.lakritz@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>1019 Howard Street, San Francisco CA 94103-2806</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Lakritz Tom</td>
<td>City Attorney's Office</td>
<td>Deputy City Attorney</td>
<td>554-3970</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ivy.lee@sfgov.org">ivy.lee@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>Fox Plaza 1390 Market Street, 6th Floor San Francisco CA 94102-5408</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Lee Ivy</td>
<td>City &amp; County of San Francisco Board of Supervisors</td>
<td>Legislative Aide to Supervisor Jane Kim</td>
<td>554-3270</td>
<td>leg <a href="mailto:aides@sf.gov">aides@sf.gov</a></td>
<td>1214 Market Street, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Lehman Jessica</td>
<td>Senior &amp; Disability Action</td>
<td>Executive Director</td>
<td>546-1333</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jessica@sdaction.org">jessica@sdaction.org</a></td>
<td>1390 Mission Street #400 San Francisco CA 94103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Leung David</td>
<td>Department of Building Inspection</td>
<td>Manager, Permit Submittal &amp; Issuance</td>
<td>558-6033</td>
<td><a href="mailto:david.leung@sfgov.org">david.leung@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>1600 Mission Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Lim Victor</td>
<td>City &amp; County of San Francisco Board of Supervisors</td>
<td>Legislative Aide to Supervisor Eric Mar</td>
<td>554-7410</td>
<td><a href="mailto:victor.lim@sfgov.org">victor.lim@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Madjus Lily</td>
<td>Department of Building Inspection</td>
<td>Communications Director</td>
<td>558-6107</td>
<td><a href="mailto:lily.madjus@sfgov.org">lily.madjus@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>1600 Mission Street, 3rd Floor San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Means Kirk</td>
<td>Department of Building Inspection</td>
<td>Technical Services Division</td>
<td>575-6832</td>
<td><a href="mailto:kirk.means@sfgov.org">kirk.means@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>1650 Mission Street, 1st Floor San Francisco, CA 94102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Mecca Tommi Avicoll</td>
<td>Housing Rights Action Committee</td>
<td>Director of Counseling Programs</td>
<td>703-8634 x102</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tmecca@hrcsf.org">tmecca@hrcsf.org</a></td>
<td>417 South Van Ness Av San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Medina Gabriel</td>
<td>MEDA Small Business Perspective</td>
<td>Gabriel Medina, Policy Manager</td>
<td>282-3334 x150</td>
<td><a href="mailto:gmedina@medasf.org">gmedina@medasf.org</a></td>
<td>2301 Mission Street, Suite 301 San Francisco, CA 94110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Meré Yvonne</td>
<td>City Attorney's Office</td>
<td>Deputy City Attorney</td>
<td>554-3874</td>
<td><a href="mailto:yvonne.mere@sfgov.org">yvonne.mere@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>1390 Market Street, 6th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest New Janan</td>
<td>San Francisco Apartment Association</td>
<td>Executive Director</td>
<td>255-2288</td>
<td><a href="mailto:janan@sfaa.org">janan@sfaa.org</a></td>
<td>265 Ivy Street San Francisco CA 94110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Noronha Jadma</td>
<td>Mission SRO Collaborative</td>
<td>MSROC Program Manager</td>
<td>282-6209 x119</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jadma@dscs.org">jadma@dscs.org</a></td>
<td>938 Valencia Street San Francisco, CA 94110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest O'Riordan Patrick</td>
<td>Department of Building Inspection</td>
<td>Chief Building Inspector</td>
<td>558-6105</td>
<td><a href="mailto:patrick.oriordan@sfgov.org">patrick.oriordan@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>1600 Mission Street, 3rd Floor San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder Name</td>
<td>CCSF Department, Agency, or Community Group</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Panelli</td>
<td>Department of Building Inspection</td>
<td>Chief Plumbing Inspector</td>
<td>558-6058</td>
<td><a href="mailto:steven.panelli@sfgov.org">steven.panelli@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>1660 Mission Street, 3rd Floor San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Reed</td>
<td>Century Electric</td>
<td>DBI Code Advisory Committee member</td>
<td>647-2419</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jim@centuryelectric.com">jim@centuryelectric.com</a></td>
<td>221 Oak St San Francisco, CA 94102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Richen</td>
<td>Small Property Owners of San Francisco Institute</td>
<td>President</td>
<td>647-2419</td>
<td><a href="mailto:info@smallprop.org">info@smallprop.org</a></td>
<td>PO Box 170669 San Francisco, CA 94117-0669</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Sanbonmatsu</td>
<td>Department of Building Inspection</td>
<td>Senior Housing Inspector</td>
<td>558-6186</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jamie.sanbonmatsu@sfgov.org">jamie.sanbonmatsu@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>1660 Mission Street, 6th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Shortt</td>
<td>Housing Rights Action Committee</td>
<td>Executive Director</td>
<td>703-3634 x106</td>
<td><a href="mailto:info@hrcsf.org">info@hrcsf.org</a></td>
<td>417 South Van Ness Av San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Strawn</td>
<td>Department of Building Inspection</td>
<td>Legislative &amp; Public Affairs</td>
<td>558-6250</td>
<td><a href="mailto:william.strawn@sfgov.org">william.strawn@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>1660 Mission Street, 6th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Tekkey</td>
<td>Central City SRO Collaborative</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>775-7110 x103</td>
<td><a href="mailto:pratibha@thclinic.org">pratibha@thclinic.org</a></td>
<td>48 Turk Street San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Tom</td>
<td>Department of Building Inspection</td>
<td>Deputy Director</td>
<td>558-6133</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ronald.tom@sfgov.org">ronald.tom@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>1660 Mission Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Walker</td>
<td>SF Building Inspection Commission</td>
<td>Commissioner</td>
<td>558-6164</td>
<td>C/O <a href="mailto:sonya.harris@sfgov.org">sonya.harris@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>1660 Mission Street, 6th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Wohlers</td>
<td>Department of Building Inspection</td>
<td>Housing Inspector</td>
<td>575-6907</td>
<td><a href="mailto:robert.wohlers@sfgov.org">robert.wohlers@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>1660 Mission Street, 6th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Yu</td>
<td>Department of Building Inspection</td>
<td>Technical Services Division</td>
<td>558-6495</td>
<td><a href="mailto:joseph.yu@sfgov.org">joseph.yu@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>1660 Mission Street, 1st Floor San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Zamudio</td>
<td>Causa Justa</td>
<td>San Francisco Housing Rights Campaign Organizer</td>
<td>487-9203 x207</td>
<td><a href="mailto:maria.zamudio@cjjc.org">maria.zamudio@cjjc.org</a></td>
<td>2301 Mission St, San Francisco, CA 94110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Czajkowski</td>
<td>Department of Building Inspection</td>
<td>Senior Clerk</td>
<td>558-6990</td>
<td>matts@<a href="mailto:czajkowski@sfgov.org">czajkowski@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>1660 Mission Street, 6th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Perez</td>
<td>Department of Building Inspection</td>
<td>Principal Clerk</td>
<td>558-6185</td>
<td><a href="mailto:bernadette.perez@sfgov.org">bernadette.perez@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>1660 Mission Street, 6th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Rossini</td>
<td>Department of Building Inspection</td>
<td>Senior Clerk</td>
<td>558-6220</td>
<td><a href="mailto:nicole.rossini@sfgov.org">nicole.rossini@sfgov.org</a></td>
<td>1660 Mission Street, 6th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Stakeholder Comments
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Prepared for: San Francisco Fire Safety Task Force
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Final Findings & Recommendations
INTERAGENCY FIRE SAFETY EDUCATION & CODE ENFORCEMENT

Tenant Perspectives

1. Increase access and transparency of SFFD Records
2. Create an online complaint tracking system for SFFD
3. SFFD BFP should impose penalties for violations to fire code
4. Create Pilot Program for Directors Hearings at SFFD
5. Interagency communication which can include SFFD forwarding inspection results to Department of Building Inspections

Increase Access and Transparency of SFFD Records

Property Inspection, Violation & Permit History Records: The Bureau of Fire Prevention currently offers members of the public an opportunity to review records and files maintained by the San Francisco Fire Department regarding property inspection, violation and permit history. However, the Property file research is only offered through an in-person appointment at the Bureau of Fire Prevention Office and requires that an appointment must be made in advance.

To ensure access to information (orders to correct a violation or to vacate a building) is available to all tenants throughout the city, we request the reconsideration of the imposed limit of two (2) street addresses per appointment. Service providers can also benefit of having files, such as FIRE INCIDENT REPORTS (NFIRS Reports), be accessible online and a link to these live in public access sites such as the San Francisco Property Information Map.

Fire Inspector Phone List & District Map: Currently this chart does not identify/name district inspector for particular area. In the interest of consistency, we recommend SFFD mirror other agencies organizational charts and phone list.

Create an online complaint tracking system for SFFD

As aforementioned, SFFD currently requires appointments to access case information on particular properties. A similar online complaint tracking system as employed by the Department of Building Inspection would be beneficial for service providers to track activity and read notes in regards to progress and process of abatement.

Emergency Communications Department: In 1999, the SFFD Emergency Medical Services (EMS) Division created a procedure to investigate complaints related to the provision of emergency medical services called the Incident Management System (IMS)¹ as part of its Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) plan. The IMS provides for

¹ NIMS Components include: Preparedness • Communications and Information Management • Resource Management • Command and Management • Ongoing Management and Maintenance
logging and tracking complaints, planning investigations, the development of action plans to address problems, and has comprehensive policies for reporting and documentation. The IMS does not have a specific procedure for conducting interviews or analyzing testimony and evidence, but overall the system serves as a useful model for developing a more global template for investigating all complaints received by the Department. There is no similar system in place for investigating complaints related to the provision of fire suppression and technical rescue services. However, the logging and tracking that IMS provides can be a helpful system to investigate and monitor complaints related to fire suppression.

SFFD BFP should impose penalties for violations to fire code

Currently, notice of violations are issued sparingly and at the discretion of a fire code official. Pursuant to Section 109.4 of the San Francisco Fire Code a fire code official "may prepare a written notice of violation, which shall identify the code sections violated, describe the violation, and where applicable require correction of the violation."

We recommend that the SFFD consistently makes use of the remedies available under the SF Fire Code Section 109.3 [For SF] "The fire code official may enforce the provisions of this code by: issuing a notice of violation under Section 109.4; issuing an administrative citation under Section 109.5; and exercising criminal arrest and citation authority under Section 109.6." Pursuant to Section 109.5.3 and in regards to the allocation of administrative citation fines, all fines and late payment fees shall be payable to the Fire Department and shall be used to support fire safety and prevention programs.

The 2010 San Francisco Fire Code findings of local conditions ascertained that (2) Certain buildings/occupancies in San Francisco are at increased risk of fire due to high density of buildings on very small lots, with many buildings built up to the property lines; (3) The topography of San Francisco has led to development of a high density of buildings on small lots, necessitating special provisions for exiting, fire separation, or fire-resistive construction; (4) Many buildings are built on steep hills and narrow streets, requiring special safety considerations; (5) Additional fire, structural and other protection is required due to high building density and crowded occupancy; Because of the great density of buildings, occupants, and pedestrians in San Francisco, fires in San Francisco can be especially devastating.

As such, we recommend there is a particular focus on the documentation "Fire escape obstructions. Fire escape and related balconies, ladders, landings, and operating devices shall not be obstructed in any manner. No object shall be stored on or attached to a fire escape without the approval of the fire code official." (Section 1030.2.1)

Create pilot program for Directors Hearings at SFFD that mirror existing Directors Hearings at other agencies.

---

2 Describing a complaint investigation procedure for the San Francisco Fire Department Executive Analysis of Fire Department Operations in Emergency Management p. 7
The Department of Building and Housing Inspection Services hosts a weekly directors hearing for outstanding violations. Hearing for Notices of Violation pursuant to Section 109.4.3. could be scheduled in this order and apply similar liens and penalties issued at these DBI Directors Hearings. SFFD should be able to issue penalties by for non compliance of notices of correction. Allowance of time in regards to the continuance of a matter can also be reexamined, as currently the fire code official may promulgate procedure for appeal hearings after a noticed public hearing.

To this end, we recommend that SF Fire and Housing codes be amended to clearly delineate testing of fire equipment and inspection timeframes. Currently, the notice of violation may set a period of time that is reasonable to remedy the violation and the fire code official may re-inspect the property to verify that the person responsible has made the required correction.

**Interagency communication which can include SFFD forwarding inspection results to Department of Building Inspections**

Currently, records for incidents that are "under investigation" may not be released to the public but we recommend there be a consideration to share these during the investigation process and the corresponding report with other city agencies.

For interagency collaboration, we recommend a reconsideration of referral fees pursuant to section 113.9.