BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

FOR YOUR INFORMATION SEE THE ATTACHED LEGISLATION

| DAT | TE SENT: November 3, 2009 |
|-------------|--|
| FILE | E #: 091252 |
| | SCRIPTION: Affordable HousingTransfer Fee Restriction Alternative for inclusionary and s Housing Linkage Programs |
| FRC | DM: Budget & Finance City Operations & Neighborhood Services City & School District Select Government Audit & Oversight Land Use & Economic Development Rules Public Safety |
| | The meeting to hear this file will be held on: URGENT |
| (res | ponse needed within one week) OR: No date set yet |
| | ☐ Hearing or ☑ Legislation referred to: |
| | Building Inspection Commission Charter Section D3.750-5 |
| | Ethics Commission Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code Section 1.103 |
| | Historic Preservation Commission Charter Section 4.135 |
| | Planning - Code Amendment Planning Code Section 302(b)and 306(a) (90 days to respond) |
| | Planning - Environmental review – 30 days to respond |
| | Planning - Environmental review (fees) CEQA CA Public Resources Code § 21000 et seq – 10 days to respond |
| | Planning - Interim Controls Planning Code Section 306.7(c) |
| | Retirement Board Campaign & Governmental Code or Elections Code |
| | Small Business Commission M01-33 |
| | Youth Commission Charter Section 3.720-2; Charter Section 4.124 (12 day to respond) |
| \boxtimes | FYI - Building Inspection Commission |

[Affordable Housing Transfer Fee Restriction Alternative for Inclusionary and Jobs Housing Linkage Programs.]

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Planning Code by amending Sections 313.4 and 315.5 and by adding Section 313.16 to add an alternative for compliance with the Jobs Housing Linkage Program and the Residential Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program by allowing a project sponsor to defer 33% of its obligation under either Program in exchange for recording an Affordable Housing Transfer Fee Restriction on the affected property providing that 1% of the value of the property be paid to the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund at every future transfer of the Property.

NOTE:

Additions are <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman</u>; deletions are <u>strike-through italics Times New Roman</u>. Board amendment additions are <u>double-underlined</u>; Board amendment deletions are <u>strikethrough normal</u>.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Findings. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby finds and determines that:

- (a) Under Planning Code Section 302, the Board of Supervisors finds that this ordinance will serve the public necessity, convenience and welfare for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. ______ recommending this legislation for approval, and incorporates such reasons by this reference thereto. A copy of said resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____.
- (b) Under Planning Code Section 101.1, the Board of Supervisors finds that this ordinance is consistent with the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code and with the General Plan as proposed to be amended in companion legislation and hereby adopts the findings of the Planning Commission, as set forth in Mayor Newsom

 MAYOR

Planning Commission Resolution No._____, and incorporates said findings by this reference thereto.

- (c) In accordance with the actions contemplated herein, the Board adopts as its own the findings in Planning Commission Motion No. _____ concerning findings under the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code sections 21000 et seq.). A copy of said determination and Motion are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____ and is incorporated herein by this reference hereto.
- (d) The current economic climate has dramatically slowed the development of new commercial and residential projects in California, including in the City and County of San Francisco. In the construction sector, working hours among the trades have declined between 30% and 40% from a year ago. And the City's affordable housing crisis remains. In order to balance the interest of the City in stimulating new commercial and residential development and the jobs and tax revenues that such development creates with the City's long-term interest in developing affordable housing options, the Board of Supervisors finds that the Affordable Housing Transfer Fee Restriction presents an additional viable alternative to the current alternatives permitted under the Jobs-Housing Linkage Program and the Residential Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program which programs provide long-term funding for affordable housing, while improving the economic conditions for individual development projects.
- (e) By permitting developers of commercial and residential developments to effectively defer 33% of their obligations under the Jobs-Housing Linkage Program and the Residential Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program, the Affordable Housing Transfer Fee option will reduce upfront project costs and cash flow in such a way that should improve the

financial viability of many projects. By improving the financial viability of development on the margin, individual projects will be easier to finance when the overall market improves and construction lending is once again available. These changes will in turn shorten the period of economic recovery within the City and spur job creation and tax revenues sooner than would otherwise be the case under existing rules.

- (f) The Affordable Housing Transfer Fee Restriction would also benefit the City by creating a long-term funding source for affordable housing that would provide more steady and consistent revenues over time and be less vulnerable to the swings in the real estate development cycle than the current Jobs-Housing Linkage Program and the Residential Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program in-lieu fees and affordable unit options.
- obligations under Jobs-Housing Linkage Program and the Residential Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program and the expected value of the Affordable Housing Transfer Fee are substantially equivalent. The Controller's Office derived the 33% reduction in obligations under the two ordinances by discounting a reasonably conservative estimate of average citywide sales prices, property turnover rates and appreciation rates for the three major types of land use subject to affordable housing fees and exactions in San Francisco: (1) for-sale residential; (2) rental residential; and (3) commercial office. The Controller's analysis is incorporated herein by reference and is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in Board File No.

Section 2. The San Francisco Planning Code is hereby amended by amending Sections 313.4 and 315.4, and adding Section 313.16, to read as follows:

SEC. 313.4. IMPOSITION OF HOUSING REQUIREMENT.

- (a) The Planning Department or the Planning Commission shall impose a condition on the approval of application for a development project subject to this ordinance in order to mitigate the impact on the availability of housing which will be caused by the employment facilitated by that project. The condition shall require that the applicant pay or contribute land suitable for housing to a housing developer to construct housing or pay an in-lieu fee to the City Treasurer which shall thereafter be used exclusively for the development of housing affordable to households of lower or moderate income.
- (b) Prior to either the Department's or the Commission's approval of a building or site permit for a development project subject to this ordinance, the Department shall issue a notice complying with Planning Code Section 306.3 setting forth its initial determination of the net addition of gross square feet of each type of space subject to this ordinance.
- (c) Any person may appeal the initial determination by delivering an appeal in writing to the Department within 15 days of such notice. If the initial determination is not appealed within the time allotted, the initial determination shall become a final determination. If the initial determination is appealed, the Commission shall schedule a public hearing prior to the approval of the development project by the Department or the Commission to determine the net addition of gross square feet of each type of space subject to this ordinance. The public hearing may be scheduled separately or simultaneously with a hearing under Planning Code Sections 139(g), 306.2, 309(h), 314.5, 315.3 or a Discretionary Review hearing under San Francisco Municipal Code Part III, Section 26. The Commission shall make a final determination of the net addition of gross square feet of each type of space subject to this ordinance at the hearing.
- (d) The final determination of the net addition of gross square feet of each type of space subject to this ordinance shall be set forth in the conditions of approval of any building

or site permit application approved by the Department or the Commission. The Planning Department shall notify the Treasurer, DBI, and MOH of the final determination of the net addition of gross square feet of each type of space subject to this ordinance within 30 days following the date of the final determination.

- (e) In the event that the Department or the Commission takes action affecting any development project subject to this ordinance and such action is thereafter modified, superseded, vacated, or reversed by the Board of Appeals, the Board of Supervisors, or by court action, the permit application for such development project shall be remanded to the Commission to determine whether the proposed project has been changed in a manner which affects the calculation of the amount of housing required under this ordinance and, if so, the Commission shall revise the housing requirement imposed on the permit application in compliance with this ordinance within 60 days of such remand and notify the sponsor in writing of such revision or that a revision is not required. If the net addition of gross square feet of any type of space subject to this ordinance is revised, the Commission shall notify the Treasurer, DBI and MOH of the nature and extent of the revision.
- (f) The sponsor shall supply all information to the Department and the Commission necessary to make a determination as to the applicability of this ordinance and the number of gross square feet of each type of space subject to this ordinance.
- (g) The sponsor of any development project subject to this ordinance shall have the option of:
- (1) Contributing a sum or land of value at least equivalent to the in-lieu fee according to the formulas set forth in Section 313.6 to one or more housing developers who will use the funds or land to construct housing units pursuant to Section 313.5 for each type of space subject to this ordinance; or

- (2) Paying an in-lieu fee to the Treasurer according to the formula set forth in Section 313.6 for each type of space subject to this ordinance; or
- (3) Combining the above options pursuant to Section 313.7 for each type of space subject to this ordinance; or
- (4) Paying 67% of the in lieu fee described in subsection(g)(2) above and further described in Section 313.6, and agreeing to record an Affordable Housing Transfer Fee Restriction under Section 313.16 on the Property providing that 1% of the value of the Property be paid to the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund at every future transfer of the Property, beginning with the first transfer of the Property after issuance of the first certificate of occupancy.

SEC. 313.16. AFFORDABLE HOUSING TRANSFER FEE RESTRICTION

(a) Definitions. For purposes of implementing the Affordable Housing Transfer Fee

Restriction in the Jobs-Housing Linkage and Residential Inclusionary Affordable Housing Programs
only, the following definitions shall apply in addition to the definitions in Sections 313.1 and 315.1:

"Present Value" shall mean the current worth of the estimated stream of future transfer fee
revenues given four variables: (1) the average sales price per unit or square foot of the type of property
being transferred; (2) the average citywide turnover rate for the type of property being transferred; (3)
the average citywide appreciation rate for the type of property being transferred; and (4) a
commercially reasonable discount rate. Future cash flows derived from transfers are discounted at the
discount rate.

"Property" shall mean the entire property or any portion thereof, including any subdivided portion or unit, subject to the Jobs Housing Linkage Program or Residential Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program, except it shall not include any unit designated as an on- or off-site Below Market Rate unit subject to Sections 315.4 and 315.5 or any unit otherwise subject to one of the City's Below Market Rate programs and deed restricted as such for 50 years or more.

Mayor Newsom

MAYOR

Page 8 10/27/2009

n:\land\as2009\9690086\00590028.doc

Housing Transfer Fee Restriction, the City, acting through the Mayor's Office of Housing, is authorized, but not required, to record a separate Notice of Special Restrictions against each subdivided unit of Property specifically documenting the fact that the Restriction applies to such Property and all Transfers of such Property. In addition, the Mayor's Office of Housing shall develop any additional documents that may be necessary to secure the payment of the Affordable Housing Transfer Fee, which documents may be recorded against the Property and shall be approved as to form by the City Attorney's Office.

- Affordable Housing Transfer Fee Restriction shall give the Owner of the Property the option to prepay the Present Value of the Restriction to the City at any time. The Mayor's Office of Housing shall, upon request of the owner, calculate the Present Value of the Restriction and such calculation shall be verified by the Controller. Upon payment of the Present Value to the Treasurer for deposit in the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund, the City shall record a document that rescinds the Affordable Housing Transfer Fee Restriction and the Owner and any future Owners of the Property shall have no further obligation to the City under this Section.
- (5) Remedies. In order to enforce the terms of the Affordable Housing Transfer Fee
 Restriction, the City may impose a lien against the Property in the amount of any unpaid Transfer Fee
 under the process described in Sections 313.9 and 315.6(e), may seek administrative or other penalties
 as authorized under the Planning Code, and may seek any other remedy available at law.

SEC. 315.4. ON-SITE HOUSING REQUIREMENT AND BENEFITS.

Except as provided in Section 315.4(e), all housing projects subject to this Program through the application of Section 315.3 shall be required to construct on-site units subject to the following requirements:

(a) Number of Units:

Mayor Newsom MAYOR

(1)

- (A) For any housing development of any height that is located in an area with a specific inclusionary housing requirement, the more specific inclusionary housing requirement shall apply. In addition, the following provisions shall apply only to the following Area Plans as provided below:
- (i) Market and Octavia Area Plan: The requirements of Sections 315 through 315.9 shall apply in the Plan Area subject to the following:

An additional affordable housing requirement shall apply in the Market and Octavia Plan Area as follows:

Definitions. The definitions in Section 326.2 and 318.2 shall apply.

Amount of fee: All projects that have not received Planning Department or Commission approval as of the effective date of this legislation and that are subject to the Residential Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program shall pay an additional affordable housing fee per square foot of Residential Space Subject to the Community Improvements Impact Fee as follows; \$8.00 in the Van Ness Market Special Use District; \$4.00 in the NCT District; and \$0.00 in the RTO District. A project applicant shall not pay a fee for any square foot of space designated as a below market rate unit under this inclusionary affordable housing program or any other unit that is designated as an affordable housing unit under a Federal, State, or local restriction in a manner that maintains affordability for a term no less than 50 years.

Timing of payment: The fee shall be paid before the City issues a first certificate of occupancy for the project.

Use of Fee: The additional affordable housing requirement specified in this Section for the Market and Octavia Plan Area shall be paid into the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund, but the funds shall be separately accounted for. MOH shall expend the funds according to the

following priorities: First, to increase the supply of housing affordable to qualifying households in the Market and Octavia Plan Area; second, to increase the supply of housing affordable to qualifying households within 1 mile of the boundaries of the Plan Area; third, to increase the supply of housing affordable to qualifying households in the City and County of San Francisco. The funds may also be used for monitoring and administrative expenses subject to the process described in Section 315.6(e).

Other fee provisions: This additional affordable housing fee shall be subject to the following provisions of Sections 326 et seq.; the inflation adjustment provisions of Section 326.3(d); the waiver and reduction provisions of Section 326.3(h); the lien proceedings in Section 326.4; and the refund provisions of Section 326.5. This additional affordable housing fee may not be met through the in-kind provision of community improvements or Community Facilities (Mello Roos) financing options of Sections 326.3(e) and (f).

Findings: The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that the additional affordable housing requirements of this Section are supported by the Nexus Study performed by Keyser Marston and Associates referenced in Section 315.2(12) and found in Board File No. 081152. The Board of Supervisors has reviewed the study and staff analysis and report of the study and, on that basis finds that the study supports the current inclusionary housing requirements combined with the additional affordable housing fee. Specifically, the Board finds that the study: identifies the purpose of the additional fee to mitigate impacts on the demand for affordable housing in the City; identifies the use to which the additional fee is to be put as being to increase the City's affordable housing supply; and establishes a reasonable relationship between the use of the additional fee for affordable housing and the need for affordable housing and the construction of new market rate housing. Moreover, the Board finds that the current inclusionary requirements combined with the additional fee are less than

Mayor Newsom Mayor

the cost of mitigation and do not include the costs of remedying any existing deficiencies. The Board also finds that the study establishes that the current inclusionary requirements and additional fee do not duplicate other City requirements or fees.

Furthermore, the Board finds that generally an account has been established, funds appropriated, and a construction schedule adopted for affordable housing projects funded through the Inclusionary Housing program and the additional fee or that the in lieu fees and the additional fee will reimburse the City for expenditures on affordable housing that have already been made.

Furthermore, the Board finds that a major Market and Octavia Area Plan objective is to direct new market rate housing development to the area. That new market rate development will greatly out number both the number of units and potential new sites within the plan area for permanently affordable housing opportunities. The City and County of San Francisco has adopted a policy in its General Plan to meet the affordable housing needs of its general population and to require new housing development to produce sufficient affordable housing opportunities for all income groups, both of which will not be met by the projected housing development in the plan area. In addition, the "Draft Residential Nexus Analysis City and County of San Francisco" of December 2006 indicates that market rate housing itself generates additional lower income affordable housing needs for the workforce needed to serve the residents of the new market rate housing proposed for the plan area. In order to meet the demand created for affordable housing by the specific policies of the Plan and to be consistent with the policy of the City and County of San Francisco it is found that an additional affordable housing fee need be included on all market rate housing development in the Plan Area with priority for its use being given to the Plan area.

(ii) Eastern Neighborhoods Project Area: The requirements of Sections 315 through 315.9 and 319 shall apply in the Eastern Neighborhoods Plan Area subject to the following and subject to any stated exceptions elsewhere in this Code, including the specific provisions in Section 319:

Definitions:

"Gross square footage" shall have the meaning set forth in Section 102.9.

"Development Application" shall have the meaning set forth in Section 175.6.

"Eastern Neighborhood Controls" shall have the meaning set forth in Section 175.6.

Application. The option described in this subsection (ii) shall only be provided to development projects that are subject to the Eastern Neighborhood Controls as defined in Section 175.6

(e), and consist of 20 units or less or less than 25,000 gross square feet.

Amount of Fee. All projects subject to this subsection may choose to pay a square foot in lieu fee instead of the in lieu fee provided for in Section 315.6 as follows. If this option is selected, the project applicant shall pay \$40.00 per gross square foot of net new residential development. The calculation of gross square feet shall not include nonresidential uses, including any retail, commercial, or PDR uses, and all other space used only for storage and services necessary to the operation or maintenance of the building itself.

Timing of Payment. The project applicant shall pay the fee prior to issuance by DBI of the first site or building permit for the project. At the project applicant's option, it may choose to pay only 50% of the fee prior to issuance by DBI of the first site or building permit and, prior to issuance of the first site or building permit, the City shall impose a lien on the property for the remaining 50% of the fee through the procedures set forth in Section 315.6(f) except that no interest will accrue for the first twelve months from the issuance of the first site or building permit for the project. The project applicant shall pay the remaining 50% of the fee prior to

Mayor Newsom Mayor

issuance by DBI of a first certificate of occupancy. When 100% of the fee is paid, including interest if applicable, the City shall remove the lien.

Use of Fee. The fee shall be paid into the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund, but the funds shall be separately accounted for. MOH shall expend the funds according to the following priorities: First, to increase the supply of housing affordable to qualifying households in the Eastern Neighborhoods Project Areas; second, to increase the supply of housing affordable to qualifying households within 1 mile of the boundaries of the Eastern Neighborhoods Project Areas; third, to increase the supply of housing affordable to qualifying households in the City and County of San Francisco. The funds may also be used for monitoring and administrative expenses subject to the process described in Section 315.6(e).

Findings. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that the fee provisions of this Section are equivalent to or less than the fees for developments of over 20 units previously adopted by the Board in Ordinance No. 051685 and 060529 and are also supported by the Nexus Study performed by Keyser Marston and Associates referenced in Section 315.2(12) and found in Board File No. 081152. The Board of Supervisors has reviewed the study and staff analysis prepared by the Mayor's Office of Housing dated July 24, 2008 in Board File No. 081152 and on that basis finds that the study supports the current proposed changes to the inclusionary housing requirements for projects of 20 units or less in the Eastern Neighborhood Area Plan. Specifically, the Board finds that the study and staff memo: identifies the purpose of the additional fee to mitigate impacts on the demand for affordable housing in the City; identifies the use to which the additional fee is to be put as being to increase the City's affordable housing supply; and establishes a reasonable relationship between the use of the additional fee for affordable housing and the need for affordable housing and the construction of new market rate housing. Moreover, the Board finds that the new inclusionary requirements

are less than the cost of mitigation and do not include the costs of remedying any existing deficiencies. The Board also finds that the study establishes that the inclusionary requirements do not duplicate other City requirements or fees.

Furthermore, the Board finds that generally an account has been established, funds appropriated, and a construction schedule adopted for affordable housing projects funded through the Inclusionary Housing program and the in lieu fees will reimburse the City for expenditures on affordable housing that have already been made.

Furthermore, the Board finds that small scale development faces a number of challenges in the current development climate, including limited access to credit and often, a higher land cost per unit for the small sites on which they develop. Because of these and other variations from larger-scale development, they operate under a somewhat unique development model which cannot be fully encapsulated within the constraints of the Eastern Neighborhoods Financial Analysis, prepared to assess the financial feasibility of increasing housing requirements and impact fees in the Plan Areas. To address these challenges, the Board finds that a number of slight modifications to the affordable housing requirements of the Eastern Neighborhoods, to apply to small projects (defined as 20 units or fewer, or less than 25,000 gross square feet) are appropriate.

(B) Buildings 120 feet in height and under or buildings of over 120 feet in height that do not meet the criteria in subsection (C) below: Except as provided in Subsection (C) below, the Planning Department shall require for housing projects covered by Section 315.3(a)(1), as a condition of Planning Department approval of a project's building permit, and by Section 315.3(a)(2), (3) and (4), as a Condition of Approval of a conditional use or planned unit development permit or as a condition of Planning Department approval of a live/work project, that 15 percent of all units constructed on the project site shall be affordable to qualifying

24

25

households so that a project applicant must construct .15 times the total number of units produced in the principal project beginning with the construction of the fifth unit. If the total number of units is not a whole number, the project applicant shall round up to the nearest whole number for any portion of .5 or above.

The Planning Department shall provide written notice by mail to the project applicant of the number of affordable units which shall be required within 30 days of approval by the Planning Department or Planning Commission.

(C) Buildings of over 120 feet in height. Except as provided in subsection (A) above, the requirements of this Subsection shall apply to any project that is over 120 feet in height and does not require a Zoning Map amendment or Planning Code text amendment related to its project approvals which (i) results in a net increase in the number of permissible residential units, or (ii) results in a material increase in the net permissible residential square footage as defined in Section 315.3(b)(2) or has not received or will not receive a zoning map amendment or Planning Code text amendment as part of an Area Plan adopted after January 1, 2006 which (i) results in a net increase in the number of permissible residential units, or (ii) results in a material increase in the net permissible residential square footage as defined in Section 315.3(b)(2). The Planning Department shall require for housing projects covered by this Subsection and Section 315.3(a)(1), as a condition of Planning Department approval of a project's building permit, or by this Subsection and by Section 315.3(a)(2), (3) and (4), as a Condition of Approval of a conditional use or planned unit development permit or as a condition of Planning Department approval of a live/work project, that 12 percent of all units constructed on the project site shall be affordable to qualifying households so that a project applicant must construct .12 times the total number of units produced in the principal project beginning with the construction of the fifth unit. If the total number of units is not a whole

number, the project applicant shall round up to the nearest whole number for any portion of .5 or above. Consistent with the conclusions of the Mayor's Office of Housing study authorized in Section 315.8(e), the Mayor's Office of Housing shall recommend and the Board of Supervisors shall consider whether the requirements of this Subsection for buildings of over 120 feet in height shall continue or expire after approximately five years.

The Planning Department shall provide written notice by mail to the project applicant of the number of affordable units which shall be required within 30 days of approval by the Planning Department or Planning Commission. This notice shall also be sent to project applicants who elect to pay an in-lieu fee.

- (2) If the principal project has resulted in demolition, conversion, or removal of affordable housing units renting or selling to households at income levels and/or for a rental rate or sales price below corresponding income thresholds for units affordable to qualifying households, the Planning Commission shall require that the project applicant replace the number of affordable units removed with units of a comparable number of bedrooms or provide that 15 percent of all units constructed as part of the new project shall be affordable to qualifying households, whichever is greater.
- (b) Timing of Construction: On-site inclusionary housing required by this Section 315.4 must be constructed, completed, and ready for occupancy no later than the market rate units in the principal project.
- (c) Type of Housing: The type of affordable housing needed in San Francisco is documented in the City's Consolidated Plan and the Residence Element of the General Plan. In general, affordable units constructed under this Section 315.4 shall be comparable in number of bedrooms, exterior appearance and overall quality of construction to market rate units in the principal project. The Notice of Special Restrictions or Conditions of Approval shall

include a specific number of units at specified unit sizes for affordable units. The square footage of affordable units and interior features in affordable units do not need to be same as or equivalent to those in market rate units in the principal project, so long as they are of good quality and are consistent with then-current standards for new housing. Where applicable, parking shall be offered to the affordable units subject to the terms and conditions of the Department's policy on unbundled parking for affordable housing units as specified in the Procedures Manual and amended from time to time. Unless provided otherwise by the Mayor's Office of Housing in writing, if the units in the market rate portion of the development are ownership units, then the affordable units shall be ownership units and if the market rate units are rental units, then the affordable units shall be rental units.

(d) Marketing the Units: The Mayor's Office of Housing shall be responsible for overseeing and monitoring the marketing of affordable units under this Section. In general, the marketing requirements and procedures shall be contained in the Procedures Manual as amended from time to time and shall apply to the affordable units in the project. The Mayor's Office of Housing may develop occupancy standards for units of different bedroom sizes in the Procedures Manual in order to promote an efficient allocation of affordable units. The Mayor's Office of Housing may require in the Procedures Manual that prospective purchasers complete homebuyer education training or fulfill other requirements. The Mayor's Office of Housing shall develop a list of minimum qualifications for marketing firms that market affordable units under this ordinance, referred to the Procedures Manual as Below Market Rate (BMR units). Within 3 months from the effective date of this legislation, the Mayor's Office of Housing shall recommend to the Planning Commission that these minimum qualifications be published in the Procedures Manual such that, upon approval of the qualifications by the Planning Commission, no developer marketing units under the

Inclusionary Housing Program shall be able to market BMR units except through a firm meeting all of the minimum qualifications. For purposes of this ordinance, any developer that has not yet submitted a marketing plan to the Mayor's Office of Housing by the date of Planning Commission approval of the qualifications shall be required to comply with this section. The Notice of Special Restrictions or Conditions of Approval shall specify that the marketing requirements and procedures contained in the Procedures Manual as amended from time to time, shall apply to the affordable units in the project.

- (1) Lottery: At the initial offering of affordable units in a housing project, the Mayor's Office of Housing must require the use of a public lottery approved by the Mayor's Office of Housing to select purchasers or tenants. The Mayor's Office of Housing shall also hold a general public lottery and maintain and utilize a list generated from this lottery or utilize a list generated from a recent lottery at another similar housing project to fill spaces in units that become available for re-sale or occupancy in any housing project subject to this ordinance after the initial offering. The list shall be updated from time to time but in no event less than annually to ensure that it remains current.
- (2) Preferences: The Mayor's Office of Housing shall create a lottery system that gives preference to people who live or work in San Francisco. MOH shall propose policies and procedures for implementing this preference to the Planning Commission for inclusion in the Procedures Manual. Otherwise, it is the policy of the Board of Supervisors to treat all households equally in allocating affordable units under this Program.
- (e) Alternatives: The project sponsor may elect to satisfy the requirements of Section 315.4 by one of the alternatives specified in this Section. The project sponsor has the choice between the alternatives and the Planning Commission may not require a specific alternative. The project sponsor must elect *an* alternatives *1-4 below* before it receives project approvals

Mayor Newsom Mayor

2

21

22

23

24

25

from the Planning Commission or Planning Department and that alternative will be a condition of project approval, and may elect alternative 5 at any time prior to issuance of first site or building permit. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if a project sponsor elects an alternative other than the on-site alternative, the project sponsor still has the option to choose the on-site alternative up to the issuance of the first site or building permit. If a project sponsor fails to elect an alternative before project approval by the Planning Commission or Planning Department, the provisions of Section 315.4 shall apply. The alternatives are as follows:

- (1) Constructing units affordable to qualifying households at an alternative site within the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to the requirements of Section 315.5.
- (2) Paying an in lieu fee to the Mayor's Office of Housing pursuant to the requirements of Section 315.6.
- (3) Any combination of construction of on-site units as provided in Section 315.4, offsite units as provided in Section 315.5, or payment of an in lieu fee as provided in Section 315.6, provided that the project applicant constructs or pays the fee at the appropriate percentage or fee level required for that option.
- (4) Using California Debt Limit Allocation Committee (CDLAC) tax-exempt bonds under the requirements of Section 315.5(g).
 - (5) Doing both of the following in (A) and (B):
- Constructing 67% of the on-site or off-site units required by Sections 315.4 and 315.5 respectively or paying 67% of the in lieu fee described in subsection (2) above and further described in Section 315.6, and
- Agreeing to record an Affordable Housing Transfer Fee Restriction under Section 313.16 on the Property providing that 1% of the value of the Property be paid to the Citywide

Mayor Newsom

Bv:

Affordable Housing Fund at every future transfer of the Property, beginning with the first transfer of the Property after issuance of the first certificate of occupancy

- (C) This alternative is not available to a project applicant that chooses an alternative involving land dedication.
- (f) Benefits: If the project applicant elects to satisfy the inclusionary housing requirements through the production of on-site inclusionary housing in this Section 315.4, the project applicant who filed an application on or after June 18, 2001 shall at his or her option, be eligible to receive a refund for only that portion of the housing project which is affordable for the following fees: a conditional use or other fee required by Planning Code Section 352, if applicable; an environmental review fee required by Administrative Code Section 31.46B, if applicable; a building permit fee required by the Building Code and by Planning Code Section 355 for the portion of the housing project that is affordable. The project applicant shall pay the building fee for the portion of the project that is market-rate.

The Controller shall refund fees from any appropriated funds to the project applicant on application by the project applicant. The application must include a copy of the certificate of occupancy for all units affordable to a qualifying household required by the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program. It is the policy of the Board of Supervisors to appropriate money for this purpose from the General Fund.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney